

**STRUCTURAL EVALUATION OF WALL SLAB CONNECTION,
INCORPORATING STEEL FIBRE SUBJECTED TO LATERAL CYCLIC
LOADING**

By

AHMED ABDULRAZZAQ NASSER

This thesis is submitted as a
partial requirement for the degree of
MSc in Civil Engineering (Structures)

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA
OCTOBER 2010**

DECLARATION

I **Ahmed Abdulrazzaq Nasser, 2009494456** confirm that the work in this report is my own work and the appropriate credit has been given where reference has been made to the work of other researchers.

Student Name	: .. Ahmed Abdulrazzaq ..
Student ID	: .. 2009494456 ..
Date	: 26/11/2010

ABSTRACT

Most reinforced concrete failures occur not because of any inadequacies in analysis of the structure or in design of the members but because of inadequate attention to the detailing of reinforcement. In the wall slab system, the lateral displacement imposed on the wall when subjected to lateral cyclic loading is likely to introduce significant unbalanced moments on the connection because the slab element of the system is fully restrained. The main aim of this study is to investigate and compare the structural performance of two types of wall-slab connection, namely cross and anchorage. This study enhances the understanding about the behaviour, structural design and economic aspect of the wall slab structural system in general and especially when subjected to lateral cyclic loading. Two specimens were constructed of similar dimension but with different connection detailing. A load actuator was positioned horizontally at the upper part of the wall in order to apply that lateral cyclic load. The anchorage type of connection experienced a more favorable effect of confinement, which kept the inner structure of the connection preserved and prevented the formation of the plastic hinge at the connection. That means that the connection escaped failure because of the good reinforcement detailing. Furthermore, a high degree of ductility was reported for the anchorage connection of approximately ($\mu=9$) which is almost double the value recorded for the cross connection. This means that the anchorage connection managed to prevent lateral expansion of the system and hence maintain strength during post-elastic stage.

Keywords: wall-slab connection, IBS, steel fibre, crack propagation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTEN

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Appendices	ix

INTRODUCTION 1

1.0 Background:	1
1.1 Problem Statement:	4
1.2 Objectives of the study:	5
1.3 Scope of work:	5
1.4 Limitation and Assumptions:	7
1.5 Significant contribution:	7

LITERATURE REVIEW 9

2.1 Tunnel form system and wall slab connection sub-assembly:	9
2.1.1 Tunnel form system under quasi-static cyclic lateral loading:	9
2.1.2 wall to slab connections subjected to lateral forces:	11
2.1.3 General behavior of wall to slab connection	14
2.1.4 Influence of cyclic loading on connection.....	17
2.2 Design requirement in reinforced concrete under lateral cyclic condition:.....	18
2.2.1 Strength Requirements	19
2.2.2 Ductility Requirements.....	20
2.3 Steel Fibre.....	22
2.3.1 Steel fibre in enhancing engineering properties of concrete:	24
2.3.2 Steel fibre in enhancing connection strength.....	26
2.4 Summary.....	29

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 30

3.0 General.....	30
3.1 Laboratory work layout	32
3.2 Dimension of wall-slab specimen.....	33
3.3 Preparation of materials.....	34
3.3.1 Concrete mix design for grade M30.....	35
3.3.2 Strength tests for steel fabric, BRC-B7	35
3.4 Preparation of wall-slab sample.....	40
3.4.1 Foundation Block	41
3.4.2 Shear wall and slab	42
3.5 Instrumentation and testing procedure.....	47

3.5.1	Experimental set-up.....	49
3.5.2	Instalment of LVDT	52
3.5.3	Instalment of strain gauges.....	53
3.5.4	Connecting reading devices (LVDT & strain gauges) to data logger	54
3.5.5	Experimental program.....	55
3.6	Summary.....	56
ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULT		57
4.1	Introduction.....	58
4.2	Cube test result.....	58
4.3	Strength tests for steel fabric, BRC-B7	59
4.3.1	Tensile Test results	59
4.3.2	Bend test	65
4.3.3	Weld test.....	65
4.4	Lateral cyclic evaluation of the Wall-slab Connection.....	67
4.4.1	Strength.....	68
4.4.2	Ductility.....	70
4.4.3	Stiffness	72
4.4.4	Energy Dissipation	73
4.5	Crack propagation and specimen failure	74
4.5.1	Cross Connection specimen	75
4.5.2	Anchorage connection specimen.....	77
4.5.3	Comparison between the two type of connection.....	78
4.5.4	Comparison of the damage state between the two connections	79
4.6	Validation of Experimental Result of the Ultimate Moment Resistance.....	80
4.7	Summary.....	82
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....		83
APPENDIX A		87
APPENDIX B.....		91
APPENDIX C.....		94
APPENDIX D		96